planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: June 6, 2002.

#### Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 02–16105 Filed 6–25–02; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[AZ-109-0051b; FRL-7233-4]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: Arizona

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve the moderate area plan and maintenance plan for the Bullhead City area in Arizona and grant a request submitted by the State to revise the boundaries and redesignate the area from nonattainment to attainment for the air quality standards for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM10).

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal must be received by July 26, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Please address your comments to Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR–2), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901. You may inspect and copy the rulemaking docket for this document at the EPA Region IX office. We may charge you a reasonable fee for copying parts of the docket.

Copies of the SIP materials are also available for inspection at the address listed below: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Outreach and Information, First Floor, 3033 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012–2809.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR—2), EPA Region 9, at (415) 972–3957 or: jesson.david@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving the moderate area plan and maintenance plan for the Bullhead City PM10 nonattainment area. We are also approving the State of Arizona's request to revise the area boundaries and redesignate the area to attainment. We are taking these actions without prior proposal because we believe that the revision and request are not

controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: June 6, 2002.

#### Laura Yoshii,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX. [FR Doc. 02–16144 Filed 6–25–02; 8:45 am]

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

## 44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-P-7607]

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Technical information or comments are requested on the proposed Base (1% annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) and proposed BFE modifications for the communities listed below. The BFEs and modified BFEs are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

**DATES:** The comment period is ninety (90) days following the second publication of this proposed rule in a newspaper of local circulation in each community.

ADDRESSES: The proposed BFEs for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the following table.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew B. Miller, P.E., Chief, Hazards Study Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3461 or (e-mail) matt.miller@fema.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FEMA proposes to make determinations of BFEs and modified BFEs for each

community listed below, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed BFEs and modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own, or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These proposed elevations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and are also used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after these elevations are made final, and for the contents in these buildings.

## **National Environmental Policy Act**

This proposed rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Consideration. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Acting Administrator for Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration certifies that this proposed rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because proposed or modified BFEs are required by the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and are required to establish and maintain community eligibility in the NFIP. No regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared.

## **Regulatory Classification**

This proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

## Executive Order 12612, Federalism

This proposed rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, dated October 26, 1987.

# Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets the applicable standards of Section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778.